

02/20/98

Introduced By: Christopher Vance

Sharp:jem

Proposed No.: 97-690

1  
2

MOTION NO. **10414**

3  
4  
5  
6

A MOTION confirming the Executive's appointment of Lynda Sharp, who resides in Council District Thirteen, to the King County Board for Developmental Disabilities.

7

BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

8

The county executive's appointment of Lynda Sharp, who resides in Council District thirteen, to the King County Board for Developmental Disabilities, term to expire on September 30, 1999, is hereby confirmed.

10

11

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

12

PASSED by a vote of 12 to 0 this 2nd day of March, 1998.

13  
14

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

15  
16

Louise Miller  
Chair

17

ATTEST:

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

[Signature]  
Clerk of the Council

Attachments: Application  
Financial Disclosure  
Board Profile

February 19, 1998

Introduced By:

Larry Phillips  
Rob McKenna  
Christopher Vance  
Jane Hague

---

clerk 3/2/98  
98-Court (RB)  
clerk 2/19/98

**98-120**

---

Proposed No.:

MOTION NO. **10415**

A MOTION requesting the department of development and environmental services to consider additional criteria in the granting of a wetland buffer variance for the proposed Issaquah District Court.

WHEREAS, growth in the Issaquah area requires construction of additional King County court facilities, and

WHEREAS, the site proposed for the new Issaquah District Court is adjacent to wetlands that are ecologically connected to the shoreline habitat of Issaquah Creek, and

WHEREAS, Issaquah Creek has been identified as a habitat critical to the continuation of Puget Sound Chinook Salmon as a viable species, and

WHEREAS, the Puget Sound Chinook Salmon will be proposed for listing as an endangered species by the United States National Marine Fisheries Service, and

WHEREAS, the degradation of the water quality in Issaquah Creek would not be allowed under the provisions of the Endangered Species Act;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

The department of development and environmental services is requested to fully consider the impacts of the proposed court facility upon the wetlands and Issaquah Creek when reviewing the applicant's request for a variance from the 100 foot buffer required for

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

Class I wetlands. In reviewing the proposal, the department is requested by the Council to consider at a minimum the following questions in an expedited fashion:

Can all stormwater drainage from parking and roof areas be directed the towards drainage swale to south?

Is the noted drainage swale an artificially created wetland?

If the drainage swale is not an artificially created wetland, is it of a high value typically expected of and found in Class I wetlands?

Is it possible to buffer average in order to provide greater than a 100 foot buffer on other areas of the site surrounding the wetlands?

Can buffer enhancements within the reduced buffer width maintain water quality?


Would the stormwater design requirements of the Issaquah Basin Plan require a significant redesign of the proposed court facility?

Could up to 24 of the proposed parking spaces be provided off-site along 220th Avenue Southeast?


Can parking for the court facility be located off-site across 220<sup>th</sup> Avenue Southeast or re-configured within the site to lessen the impact on the wetland buffers?

PASSED by a vote of 12 to 0 this 2nd day of March, 1998.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

  
Chair

ATTEST:

  
Clerk of the Council

Attachment: None